

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/483,039	01/18/00	тотн		ļΥļ	5212USA
		IM52/0214	\neg	EXAMINER	
John A O Toole Esq		ate C. Comerciales, P. C. C. attack, Mr. Supp.		MAI,H	
P 0 Box Minneapoli	·· ··		į	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1761	
				DATE MAILED:	
					02/14/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary Examiner	ч		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner Hao T Mai 1761		· Office Auto-O	09/483,039	TOTH ET AL.
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13 (c). In no evert, however, may a reply be limitely filed after SIX (6) MONTH'S from the making date of this communication. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13 (c). In no evert, however, may a reply be limitely filed after SIX (6) MONTH'S from the making date of this communication. Fallulate to reply within the set or extended period for reply with, by statutor, cause the application is became ABANDONED (33 U.S. C. § 1.13). For party received by the Official when there works after the making date of this communication, over if firmly filed, may reduce any Ary reply received by the Official when there works after the making date of this communication, over if firmly filed, may reduce any Ary reply received by the Official when there works after the making date of this communication, over if firmly filed, may reduce any The Ary reply received by the Official when the reports after the making date of this communication, over if firmly filed, may reduce any The Responsive to communication (s) filed on	Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - and STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - and STA (5) MONTHS incompliant and the provisions of 37 CFX 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed and stated to the provision of 37 CFX 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed and stated to the provision of 37 CFX 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed the period for reply is pecified above, the maintrum statistory period will apply and vitil application for the maining date of this communication. - Failure to play which the set or extends planted for reply in the state that mapplication from the supplication for sure of the maining date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search planted term adjustment. See 37 CFX 1.704(b) with state the maining date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search planted term adjustment. See 37 CFX 1.704(b) This action is non-final. 3) This action is FINAL. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is reply and vitil application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are allowed. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 12) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending the provision of the p			Hao T Mai	1761
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edefacions of tenering be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Edefacions of tenering be available under the grossions of 3 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If the period for reply sepolitic discovers the mainty (QD) date, a reply within the statutory maintenant of their (30) days will be considered timely, If No period for reply sepolitic or reply is possible does the maintenant statutory period using (No MONTE from the mailing date of this communication. Fallius to reply within the eld of exterded period for reply vill, by statutory maintenant of their (30) days will be considered timely. If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and vill regions (26) (MONTES from the mailing date of this communication. Fallius to reply within the eld of exterded period for reply vill, by statute in the mailing date of this communication. Provided the provided of the eld of the communication of the period of the communication. A provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Doring of Sa	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some Ol None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONF	mely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D. (35.U.S.C. & 133)
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some Ol None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	. ·	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	2a) 🗌			
4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). **Itachment(s) 5) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 5) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 7) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)	Dispositi			
Solution	4)	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.		
6) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Ittachment(s) 5) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 6) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 7) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Patent and Trademac Office		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Ittachment(s) 5) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 6) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 7) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other:	5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	6)	Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are rejected.		
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	8)	Claims are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **	Application	on Papers		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). **Ittachment(s) 5) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 6) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 7) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other:	9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). **Ittachment(s) 5) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 6) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 7) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other:	10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). **Ittachment(s) 5) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 6) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 7) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20 Other:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	roved.
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). **Ittachment(s) 50 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 60 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 70 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Patent and Trademark Office 70 Other:				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). **Ittachment(s) 5) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 6) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 7) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Patent and Trademark Office 0.325 (Page 10.013)	Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). **Ittachment(s) 5) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 6) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 7) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Patent and Trademark Office 0.325 (Page 10.013)	13) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). **Ittachment(s)** Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Patent and Trademark Office Patent and Trademark Office Patent and Trademark Office Patent and Trademark Office		_	. ,	() ()
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). **Ittachment(s)** Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Patent and Trademark Office Patent and Trademark Office Patent and Trademark Office Patent and Trademark Office		1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	:			n No.
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 19) Other:		3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure	y documents have been received	d in this National Stage
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
6) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 7) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Patent and Trademark Office 0.336 (Pay 01.01)	attachment(s)		
6) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 7) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Patent and Trademark Office 0.336 (Pay 01.04)	5) 🔯 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) 🔲 Interview Summarv	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)
O 326 (Boy 01 01)	6) Notice 7) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	19) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)
			on Summary	Part of Paper No. 2

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "substantially" is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "substantially" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-5, 16-17, 19-23, 34-35, 38 – 39, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Privert(5,922,376). Privert teaches a snack food container comprising a side wall (40) forming an upper opening, a bottom wall (13)connected to the side wall opposite the upper opening; and a flange(28) body extending downwardly from the bottom wall(44), the flange body defining an inner surface and an outer

Art Unit: 1761

surface, the inner surface being configured for selective attachment to a beverage container(figs. 2 &4); wherein the snack food container defines an internal storage region for containing a snack food product(fig. 2), the side wall, the bottom wall and the flange body are integrally formed, a retaining means, and a protective film secured across the upper opening(fig. 1).

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-11, 16, 20-23, 25-29, 34, and 38-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Paulin(5,085,330), Cadigan(1,064,442). Paulin teaches a snack food container comprising a side wall (38) forming an upper opening, a bottom wall (30)connected to the side wall opposite the upper opening; and a flange(28) body extending downwardly from the bottom wall(30), the flange body defining an inner surface and an outer surface, the inner surface being configured for selective attachment to a beverage container(figs. 2-3).; wherein the snack food container defines an internal storage region for containing a snack food product(fig. 3). Cadigan teaches a snack food container comprising a side wall (a) forming an upper opening, a bottom wall(c2) connected to the side wall opposite the upper opening; and a flange(c) body extending downwardly from the bottom wall, the flange body defining an inner surface and an outer surface, the inner surface being configured for selective attachment to a beverage container(fig.); wherein the snack food container defines an internal storage region for containing a snack food product(fig.). Regarding claims 2, and 20, Paulin and Cadigan teach the flange body is sized in

Regarding claims 2, and 20, Paulin and Cadigan teach the flange body is sized in accordance with to top portion of a beverage(Paulin fig. 3, Cadigan fig. 1)

Art Unit: 1761

Regarding claims 3, 21, Paulin and Cadigan teach the container is cylindrical (Paulin fig. 3, Cadigan fig. 1)

Regarding claims 4, 22, and 39 Paulin and Cadigan teach the inner surface is substantially annular(Paulin fig. 3, Cadigan fig. 1)

Regarding claims 5, and 23, Paulin and Cadigan teach the diameter slightly greater than a diameter of the top portion of the beverage container (Paulin fig. 3, Cadigan fig. 1).

Regarding claims 7 and 25, Paulin and Cadigan teach the inner surface includes a first section adjacent the bottom wall and a second section extending from the first section, first section being substantially vertical (Pauline fig. 3(34,36), Cadigan fig. 1(c7,c8, c3, c5)).

Regarding claims 8 and 26, Paulin and Cadigan teach the second section expand outwardly from the first section(Pauline fig. 3(34,36), Cadigan fig. 1(c7,c5)). 1.As to the recitation "for xxx", this is only considered to be an intended use statement which fails to add any structure to define over Paulin or Cadigan.

Regarding claims 9 and 27, Paulin and Cadigan teach at least one retention tab extending in a generally radial fashion from the inner surface, the retention tab being axially spaced from the bottom wall(Pauline fig. 3(30), Cadigan fig. 1(c8,c3)).

Regarding claims 10, 28 and 38, Paulin and Cadigan teach at least one retention tab is configured to selectively engage a separate beverage container(Pauline fig. 3, Cadigan fig. 1).

Art Unit: 1761

Regarding claims 11 and 29, Paulin and Cadigan teach a plurality of retention tab(Pauline fig. 3, Cadigan fig. 1).

Regarding claims 16, 34 and 38, Paulin and Cadigan teach the sidewall, the bottom wall and the flange body are integrally formed(Pauline fig. 3, Cadigan fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 6 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paulin or Cadigan. Paulin and Cadigan teach all of the claimed limitations except for the specifically claimed diameter range of 52-55mm. However, the specifically claimed diameter is not seen to be a patentable distinction, but rather is just a matter of design choice, and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.
- 3. Claims 12 –15, 17, 30-33, 35, 37, and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paulin or Cadigan inview of Brauner et al(5,318,787)(Brauner). Paulin and Cadigan teach all of the claimed limitations except for a slot extending from the sidewall to the bottom wall such that an area below the bottom wall is accessible from an exterior of the sidewall via the slot. Brauner teaches a slot extending from the sidewall to the bottom wall such that an area below the bottom wall is accessible from an exterior of the sidewall via the slot(figs 5-6(62)). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make a slot extending from the

Art Unit: 1761

body(figs. 5-6).

side wall to the bottom wall such that an area below the bottom wall is accessible from an exterior of the side wall via the slot as taught by Brauner, since Brauner teaches that this feature assists in the mounting of the container to beverage container(col. 5, lines 35+).

Regarding claims 13, 31, Brauner teaches the slot sized to allow passage of a straw(col. 5, lines 39 +).

Regarding claims 14 and 32, Brauner teaches the angular fashion slot(figs 5-6).

Regarding claims 15, 33 and 41, Brauner teaches the slot extends through the flange

Regarding claims 17, 42 and 35, Brauner teaches a protective film secured across the upper opening(fig. 1)

Regarding claims 37, Brauner teaches a dry food item(col. 1)

4. Claims 18 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paulin or Cadigan inview of Harvey et al(4,054,205)(Harvey). Paulin and Cadigan teach all of the claimed limitations except for a straw associate with the sidewall. Harvey teaches a straw associate with the sidewall (fig. 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make a straw associate with the sidewall as taught by Harvey so as to provide convenience for end user.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hao T Mai whose telephone number is (703)306-9171. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-7PM; MON-THU.

Art Unit: 1761

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (703)308-0756. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3599 for regular communications and (703)305-7718 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

February 12, 2001

MILTON CANO
PRIMARY FXAMINER

GAU 1761